



Response Date:22/04/2025

**2025/401 - Solicitor**

In response to your recent request for information;

**I saw a court costs application made by NWP .**

**What was surprising was the amount that the police had paid for their in house full time employed solicitor,**

**Although this solicitor earns from his job at NWP around £30 per hour the claim was for £282 per hour for this Solicitor. A ten fold uplift!!!**

**Obviously that would be illegal and fraudulent to claim expenses that had not been actually incurred and the police don't have a policy of breaking the law in court. Certainly not in such a blatant way.**

**So I want to know how you do it legally,**

Clarification

***a freedom of information request does not need to be related to any case, indeed it would be unlikely to be within the FOI scope.***

***I want to know what NWP policy is on claiming costs from the court, particularly in respect of an employed persons time.***

***this is interesting to the public because we assume that you claim only the money you have paid in wages, and that is not true you claim ten times more at times.***

***We want to know the NWP policy.***

***What we wish to obtain from the information you send is how it is legal to claim expenses that you have not incurred.***

***I am unconcerned that Mr Preston of NWP claimed £382 per hour for his time when I presume the police paid him only £30 ISH per hour on any particular case, I want the policy that Mr Preston referred to that makes it legal in all cases***

North Wales Police do not have such a policy and we do not keep a global record of all costs across the files.

The fee guidance comes from:-

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/solicitors-guideline-hourly-rates#guideline-hourly-rates>

Pencadlys yr Heddlu,  
Glan-y-Don, Bae Colwyn LL29 8AW  
[www.heddlugogleddcymru.police.uk](http://www.heddlugogleddcymru.police.uk)

Police Headquarters,  
Glan-y-Don, Colwyn Bay LL29 8AW  
[www.northwales.police.uk](http://www.northwales.police.uk)

The notion that costs beyond any solicitor wages can be claimed is supported by the attached case.

THIS INFORMATION HAS BEEN PROVIDED IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST  
UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000, AND IS CORRECT AS AT 16/04/2025

Status:  Positive or Neutral Judicial Treatment

## **Eastwood (Deceased), Re**

**Also known as:**

### **Lloyds Bank Ltd v Eastwood**

Court of Appeal (Civil Division)

12 July 1974

### **Case Analysis**

#### **Where Reported**

[\[1975\] Ch. 112](#); [\[1974\] 3 W.L.R. 454](#); [1974] 3 All E.R. 603; [1974] 7 WLUK 82; [\[1997\] Costs L.R. \(Core Vol.\) 50](#); (1974) 118 S.J. 533;

#### **Case Digest**

**Subject:** Civil procedure

**Keywords:** Attorney General; Charities; Costs; Solicitors; Treasury Solicitor; Wills

**Summary:** Costs; taxation; solicitors' allowable expenses; salaried solicitors

On a taxation, the bill of costs of a party represented by a salaried solicitor should be treated as though it were the bill of an independent solicitor, and the costs allowed may include a figure for profit costs. The costs of a construction summons regarding charitable gifts in a will were awarded to the Attorney General, to be taxed on the common fund basis and paid out of the testator's estate. The taxing master disallowed a sum of GBP 30, representing "profit element" on the care and conduct of the case by a senior solicitor in the Treasury Solicitor's office. On appeal, the judge upheld the taxing master's ruling. On appeal to the Court of Appeal, held, allowing the appeal, that such a discretionary item was allowable assessing the reasonable and fair amount having regard to all the circumstances of the case.

**Judge:** Russell LJ; Stamp LJ; Lawton LJ

#### **Appellate History & Status**

**Chancery Division; 15 June 1973**

**Eastwood (Deceased), Re**

[\[1973\] 3 W.L.R. 795](#); [\[1973\] 3 All E.R. 1079](#); [\[1973\] 6 WLUK 65](#); [\(1973\) 117 S.J. 487](#)

## Reversed

**Court of Appeal (Civil Division); 12 July 1974**

**Eastwood (Deceased), Re**

[\[1975\] Ch. 112](#); [\[1974\] 3 W.L.R. 454](#); [\[1974\] 3 All E.R. 603](#); [\[1974\] 7 WLUK 82](#); [\[1997\] Costs L.R. \(Core Vol.\) 50](#); [\(1974\) 118 S.J. 533](#)

## All Cases Cited

### **Buckland v Watts**

[\[1970\] 1 Q.B. 27](#); [\[1969\] 3 W.L.R. 92](#); [\[1969\] 2 All E.R. 985](#); [\[1969\] 5 WLUK 27](#); [Times, July 2, 1969](#); [\(1969\) 113 S.J. 384](#); CA (Civ Div); 07 May 1969

### **Electrical Trades Union v Tarlo (No.2)**

[\[1964\] Ch. 720](#); [\[1964\] 2 W.L.R. 1041](#); [\[1964\] 2 All E.R. 1](#); [\[1964\] 3 WLUK 10](#); [\(1964\) 108 S.J. 217](#); Ch D; 04 March 1964

### **R. v Burt Ex p. Presburg**

[\[1960\] 1 Q.B. 625](#); [\[1960\] 2 W.L.R. 398](#); [\[1960\] 1 All E.R. 424](#); [\[1960\] 1 WLUK 538](#); [\(1960\) 124 J.P. 201](#); [\(1960\) 104 S.J. 148](#); DC; 22 January 1960

### **Adams v London Improved Motor Coach Builders Ltd**

[\[1921\] 1 K.B. 495](#); [\[1920\] All E.R. Rep. 340](#); [\[1920\] 12 WLUK 51](#); CA; 06 December 1920

### **Slingsby v Attorney General**

[\[1918\] P. 236](#); [\[1918\] 4 WLUK 16](#); CA; 17 April 1918

### **Gundry v Sainsbury**

[\[1910\] 1 K.B. 645](#); [\[1910\] 2 WLUK 77](#); [\[1997\] Costs L.R. \(Core Vol.\) 1](#); CA; 25 February 1910

### **R. (on the Prosecution of Cobham) v Archbishop of Canterbury**

[\[1903\] 1 K.B. 289](#); [\[1902\] 12 WLUK 6](#); CA; 02 December 1902

### **Henderson v Merthyr Tydfil Urban DC**

[\[1900\] 1 Q.B. 434](#); [\[1900\] 1 WLUK 126](#); QBD; 31 January 1900

### **Doody, Re**

[\[1893\] 1 Ch. 129](#); [\[1892\] 11 WLUK 47](#); CA; 16 November 1892

### **Galloway v London Corp (Costs)**

[\(1867\) L.R. 4 Eq. 90](#); [\[1867\] 5 WLUK 14](#); Ct of Chancery;  
04 May 1867

### **Raymond v Lakeman**

[55 E.R. 761](#); [\(1865\) 34 Beav. 584](#); [\[1865\] 3 WLUK 97](#); Ct  
of Chancery; 27 March 1865

### **Hockley v Bantock**

[39 E.R. 1010](#); [\(1833\) 2 My. & K. 437](#); [\[1833\] 11 WLUK 135](#); Ct of Chancery; 26 November 1833

## **Key Cases Citing**

### **Applied**

Shackleton and Associates Ltd v Al Shamsi

[\[2017\] EWHC 304 \(Comm\)](#); [\[2017\] 2 WLUK 619](#); [\[2017\] 2 Costs L.O. 169](#); QBD (Comm); 23 February 2017

R. (on the application of Bakhtiyar) v Secretary of State for  
the Home Department (Costs)

[\[2015\] UKUT 519 \(IAC\)](#); [\[2015\] 9 WLUK 149](#); [\[2016\] Imm. A.R. 195](#); UT (IAC); 09 September 2015

Ladak v DRC Locums Ltd

[\[2014\] 6 WLUK 448](#); [\[2014\] I.R.L.R. 851](#); [\[2014\] I.C.R. D39](#); EAT; 16 June 2014

OM Property Management Ltd v Leasehold Valuation  
Tribunal

[\[2012\] UKUT 102 \(LC\)](#); [\[2012\] 5 WLUK 113](#); UT (Lands);  
03 May 2012

Scottish Solicitors' Discipline Tribunal v Auditor of the Court  
of Session

[\[2009\] CSOH 101](#); [2009 S.L.T. 1104](#); [\[2009\] 7 WLUK 353](#);  
[2009 G.W.D. 25-408](#); OH; 14 July 2009

Cole v British Telecommunications Plc

[\[2000\] 7 WLUK 33](#); [\[2000\] 2 Costs L.R. 310](#); [Independent, October 2, 2000](#); CA (Civ Div); 04 July 2000

Raval v Camden LBC

[\[2000\] 2 WLUK 638](#); EAT; 17 February 2000

Minotaur Data Systems Ltd, Re

[\[1999\] 1 W.L.R. 1129](#); [\[1999\] 3 All E.R. 122](#); [\[1999\] 3 WLUK 21](#); [\[1999\] B.C.C. 571](#); [\[1999\] 2 B.C.L.C. 766](#);  
[\[1999\] 2 Costs L.R. 97](#); [\[1999\] B.P.I.R. 560](#); [Times, March 18, 1999](#); [Independent, March 10, 1999](#); [\(1999\) 96\(12\) L.S.G. 33](#); [\(1999\) 149 N.L.J. 415](#); [\(1999\) 143 S.J.L.B. 98](#);  
[\[1999\] N.P.C. 27](#); CA (Civ Div); 02 March 1999

Children Act 1989 (Taxation of Costs), Re

[\[1994\] 8 WLUK 116](#); [\[1994\] 2 F.L.R. 934](#); [\[1995\] 1 F.C.R. 688](#); [Times, October 21, 1994](#); [\[1995\] Fam. Law 126](#); [\(1995\) 159 L.G. Rev. 809](#); FAM; 26 August 1994

Albany Fish Bar v Customs and Excise Commissioners

[\[1993\] 1 WLUK 650](#); [\[1993\] V.A.T.T.R. 262](#); VAT Tr (London); 01 January 1993

Henry Wiggins & Co v Jenkins

[\[1981\] 2 WLUK 121](#); EAT; 11 February 1981

Leopold Lazarus Ltd v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry

[\[1976\] 4 WLUK 35](#); [Times, April 9, 1976](#); [\(1976\) 120 S.J. 268](#); QBD; 07 April 1976

### **Considered**

Arora, Re

[\[2013\] UKUT 362 \(LC\)](#); [\[2013\] 7 WLUK 1021](#); UT (Lands); 31 July 2013

Maes Finance Ltd v WG Edwards & Partners

[\[2000\] 2 WLUK 431](#); [\[2000\] 2 Costs L.R. 198](#); QBD; 11 February 2000

Bank of Ireland v Lyons

[\[1981\] 1 WLUK 8](#); [\[1981\] I.R. 295](#); 01 January 1981

### **Followed**

Sidewalk Properties Ltd v Twinn

[\[2015\] UKUT 122 \(LC\)](#); [\[2016\] 3 WLUK 304](#); [\[2016\] 2 Costs L.R. 253](#); UT (Lands); 10 March 2016

## **All Cases Citing**

### **Mentioned by**

St John Ambulance v Teignbridge DC

[\[2018\] 11 WLUK 578](#); FTT (GRC); 16 November 2018

### **Applied**

Shackleton and Associates Ltd v Al Shamsi

[\[2017\] EWHC 304 \(Comm\)](#); [\[2017\] 2 WLUK 619](#); [\[2017\] 2 Costs L.O. 169](#); QBD (Comm); 23 February 2017

### **Followed**

Sidewalk Properties Ltd v Twinn

[\[2015\] UKUT 122 \(LC\)](#); [\[2016\] 3 WLUK 304](#); [\[2016\] 2 Costs L.R. 253](#); UT (Lands); 10 March 2016

### **Applied**

R. (on the application of Bakhtiyar) v Secretary of State for

the Home Department (Costs)

[\[2015\] UKUT 519 \(IAC\)](#); [\[2015\] 9 WLUK 149](#); [\[2016\] Imm. A.R. 195](#); UT (IAC); 09 September 2015

### **Applied**

Ladak v DRC Locums Ltd

[\[2014\] 6 WLUK 448](#); [\[2014\] I.R.L.R. 851](#); [\[2014\] I.C.R. D39](#); EAT; 16 June 2014

### **Considered**

Arora, Re

[\[2013\] UKUT 362 \(LC\)](#); [\[2013\] 7 WLUK 1021](#); UT (Lands); 31 July 2013

### **Mentioned by**

Vardy Properties v Revenue and Customs Commissioners (Costs)

[\[2013\] UKFTT 96 \(TC\)](#); [\[2013\] 2 WLUK 1](#); FTT (Tax); 01 February 2013

### **Applied**

OM Property Management Ltd v Leasehold Valuation Tribunal

[\[2012\] UKUT 102 \(LC\)](#); [\[2012\] 5 WLUK 113](#); UT (Lands); 03 May 2012

### **Applied**

Scottish Solicitors' Discipline Tribunal v Auditor of the Court of Session

[\[2009\] CSOH 101](#); [2009 S.L.T. 1104](#); [\[2009\] 7 WLUK 353](#); [2009 G.W.D. 25-408](#); OH; 14 July 2009

### **Mentioned by**

R. v Armstrong (Costs)

[\[2007\] 9 WLUK 80](#); [\[2008\] 5 Costs L.R. 794](#); Sup Ct Costs Office; 06 September 2007

### **Mentioned by**

R. v Grant (Costs)

[\[2005\] 7 WLUK 498](#); [\[2006\] 1 Costs L.R. 173](#); Sup Ct Costs Office; 15 July 2005

### **Mentioned by**

Jemma Trust Co Ltd v Liptrott (No.1)

[\[2003\] EWCA Civ 1476](#); [\[2004\] 1 W.L.R. 646](#); [\[2004\] 1 All E.R. 510](#); [\[2003\] 10 WLUK 677](#); [\[2004\] 1 Costs L.R. 66](#); [\[2003\] W.T.L.R. 1427](#); Times, October 30, 2003;

[Independent, October 28, 2003; \(2003\) 100\(45\) L.S.G. 29; \(2003\) 153 N.L.J. 1633; \(2003\) 147 S.J.L.B. 1275; \[2003\] N.P.C. 126; CA \(Civ Div\); 24 October 2003](#)

#### **Mentioned by**

Hollins v Russell

[\[2003\] EWCA Civ 718; \[2003\] 1 W.L.R. 2487; \[2003\] 4 All E.R. 590; \[2003\] 5 WLUK 681; \[2003\] 3 Costs L.R. 423; Times, June 10, 2003; Independent, June 3, 2003; \(2003\) 100\(28\) L.S.G. 30; \(2003\) 153 N.L.J. 920; \(2003\) 147 S.J.L.B. 662; CA \(Civ Div\); 22 May 2003](#)

#### **Mentioned by**

Philcox v Law Society (Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal)

[\[2001\] EWHC Admin 985; \[2001\] 11 WLUK 458; QBD \(Admin\); 19 November 2001](#)

#### **Mentioned by**

Callery v Gray (No.1)

[\[2001\] EWCA Civ 1117; \[2001\] 1 W.L.R. 2112; \[2001\] 3 All E.R. 833; \[2001\] 7 WLUK 426; \[2001\] 2 Costs L.R. 163; \[2001\] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 743; \[2001\] P.I.Q.R. P32; Times, July 18, 2001; Independent, July 24, 2001; Daily Telegraph, July 24, 2001; \(2001\) 151 N.L.J. 1129; CA \(Civ Div\); 17 July 2001](#)

#### **Mentioned by**

Callery v Gray (No.1)

[\[2001\] 1 WLUK 664; \[2002\] R.T.R. 10; CC \(Chester\); 29 January 2001](#)

#### **Applied**

Cole v British Telecommunications Plc

[\[2000\] 7 WLUK 33; \[2000\] 2 Costs L.R. 310; Independent, October 2, 2000; CA \(Civ Div\); 04 July 2000](#)

#### **Applied**

Raval v Camden LBC

[\[2000\] 2 WLUK 638; EAT; 17 February 2000](#)

#### **Considered**

Maes Finance Ltd v WG Edwards & Partners

[\[2000\] 2 WLUK 431; \[2000\] 2 Costs L.R. 198; QBD; 11 February 2000](#)

#### **Mentioned by**

Portman Building Society v Bevan Ashford



[\[1999\] 12 WLUK 663](#); [\[2000\] Lloyd's Rep. Bank. 96](#); [\[2000\] Lloyd's Rep. P.N. 354](#); [\[2000\] P.N.L.R. 344](#); [\(2000\) 80 P. & C.R. 239](#); [\[2000\] 1 E.G.L.R. 81](#); [\[2000\] 07 E.G. 131](#); [\[2000\] E.G. 2 \(C.S.\)](#); [\(2000\) 79 P. & C.R. D25](#); CA (Civ Div); 20 December 1999

### **Applied**

Minotaur Data Systems Ltd, Re

[\[1999\] 1 W.L.R. 1129](#); [\[1999\] 3 All E.R. 122](#); [\[1999\] 3 WLUK 21](#); [\[1999\] B.C.C. 571](#); [\[1999\] 2 B.C.L.C. 766](#); [\[1999\] 2 Costs L.R. 97](#); [\[1999\] B.P.I.R. 560](#); Times, March 18, 1999; Independent, March 10, 1999; [\(1999\) 96\(12\) L.S.G. 33](#); [\(1999\) 149 N.L.J. 415](#); [\(1999\) 143 S.J.L.B. 98](#); [\[1999\] N.P.C. 27](#); CA (Civ Div); 02 March 1999

### **Mentioned by**

Minotaur Data Systems Ltd, Re

[\[1999\] 1 W.L.R. 449](#); [\[1998\] 4 All E.R. 500](#); [\[1998\] 5 WLUK 418](#); [\[1998\] 2 B.C.L.C. 306](#); [\[1998\] 2 Costs L.R. 38](#); [\[1998\] B.P.I.R. 756](#); Times, June 25, 1998; CHD; 22 May 1998

### **Mentioned by**

General of Berne Insurance Co Ltd v Jardine Reinsurance Management Ltd

[\[1998\] 1 W.L.R. 1231](#); [\[1998\] 2 All E.R. 301](#); [\[1998\] 2 WLUK 219](#); [\[1998\] C.L.C. 768](#); [\[1998\] 1 Costs L.R. 1](#); [\[1998\] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 211](#); Times, February 20, 1998; [\(1998\) 95\(11\) L.S.G. 35](#); [\(1998\) 142 S.J.L.B. 86](#); CA (Civ Div); 12 February 1998

### **Mentioned by**

Jones v Secretary of State for Wales

[\[1997\] 1 W.L.R. 1008](#); [\[1997\] 2 All E.R. 507](#); [\[1996\] 10 WLUK 378](#); [\[1997\] 1 Costs L.R. 34](#); Times, December 3, 1996; QBD; 24 October 1996

### **Mentioned by**

R. v Supreme Court Taxing Office Ex p. John Singh & Co

[\[1996\] 7 WLUK 532](#); [\[1997\] 1 Costs L.R. 49](#); CA (Civ Div); 31 July 1996

### **Mentioned by**

L v L (Legal Aid: Taxation)

[\[1996\] 1 WLUK 304](#); [\[1997\] 1 Costs L.R. 9](#); [\[1996\] 1 F.L.R. 873](#); [\[1996\] 2 F.C.R. 193](#); Times, January 26, 1996; [\[1996\] Fam. Law 349](#); [\(1996\) 140 S.J.L.B. 58](#); CA (Civ

Div); 24 January 1996

**Mentioned by**

R. v Supreme Court Taxing Office Ex p. John Singh & Co  
[\[1995\] 4 WLUK 166](#); [\(1995\) 7 Admin. L.R. 849](#); [Times, May 3, 1995](#); [\[1995\] C.O.D. 429](#); QBD; 11 April 1995

**Mentioned by**

A London Borough v M  
[\[1994\] 10 WLUK 1](#); [\[1997\] Costs L.R. \(Core Vol.\) 374](#); Fam Div; 01 October 1994

**Applied**

Children Act 1989 (Taxation of Costs), Re  
[\[1994\] 8 WLUK 116](#); [\[1994\] 2 F.L.R. 934](#); [\[1995\] 1 F.C.R. 688](#); [Times, October 21, 1994](#); [\[1995\] Fam. Law 126](#); [\(1995\) 159 L.G. Rev. 809](#); FAM; 26 August 1994

**Applied**

Albany Fish Bar v Customs and Excise Commissioners  
[\[1993\] 1 WLUK 650](#); [\[1993\] V.A.T.T.R. 262](#); VAT Tr (London); 01 January 1993

**Mentioned by**

Stubbs v Board of Governors of the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital  
[\[1988\] 12 WLUK 274](#); [\[1997\] Costs L.R. \(Core Vol.\) 117](#); QBD; 21 December 1988

**Mentioned by**

Wimpey Construction (UK) Ltd v Martin Black & Co (Wire Ropes) Ltd (No.2)  
[1988 S.C. 264](#); [1988 S.L.T. 637](#); [1988 S.C.L.R. 526](#); [\[1988\] 6 WLUK 35](#); IH (Ct of 7 judges); 07 June 1988

**Mentioned by**

R. v Backhouse  
[\[1986\] 3 WLUK 1](#); [\[1997\] Costs L.R. \(Core Vol.\) 445](#); Sup Ct Costs Office; 01 March 1986

**Applied**

Henry Wiggins & Co v Jenkins  
[\[1981\] 2 WLUK 121](#); EAT; 11 February 1981

**Considered**

Bank of Ireland v Lyons  
[\[1981\] 1 WLUK 8](#); [\[1981\] I.R. 295](#); 01 January 1981

### **Mentioned by**

Gibson's Settlement Trusts, Re

[\[1981\] Ch. 179](#); [\[1981\] 2 W.L.R. 1](#); [\[1981\] 1 All E.R. 233](#); [\[1980\] 5 WLUK 122](#); [Times, May 20, 1980](#); [\(1981\) 125 S.J. 48](#); CHD; 13 May 1980

### **Mentioned by**

R. v Wilkinson (Robert)

[\[1980\] 1 W.L.R. 396](#); [\[1980\] 1 All E.R. 597](#); [\[1979\] 11 WLUK 180](#); [Times, November 29, 1979](#); [\(1979\) 123 S.J. 825](#); QBD; 21 November 1979

### **Applied**

Leopold Lazarus Ltd v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry

[\[1976\] 4 WLUK 35](#); [Times, April 9, 1976](#); [\(1976\) 120 S.J. 268](#); QBD; 07 April 1976

## **Legislation Cited**

[Administration of Justice \(Miscellaneous Provisions\) Act 1933 \(c.36\) s.7](#)

[RSC](#)

[Solicitors Act 1957 \(c.27\)](#)

[Solicitors Act 1957 \(c.27\) s.59](#)

[Solicitors Act 1957 \(c.27\) s.60](#)

## **Journal Articles**

### **Solicitors' hourly rates**

Expenses; Hourly fees; Solicitor and client costs.

[C.J.Q. 2005, 24\(Jan\), 142-150](#)

## **Books**

### **Civil Costs 6th Ed.**

Chapter: Chapter 5. - General Principles of Entitlement to Costs

Documents: [Section E. - Litigants in Person](#)

### **Civil Costs 6th Ed.**

Chapter: Chapter 7. - Agreements as to Costs

Documents: [Section B. - Contentious Business Agreements under the Solicitors Act 1974 Sections 59-63](#)

**Civil Costs 6th Ed.**

Chapter: Chapter 14. - Court of Protection, Insolvency, Public Inquiries and Tribunals

Documents: [Section F. - Upper Tribunal](#)

**Civil Costs 6th Ed.**

Chapter: Chapter 20. - General Principles of Assessment

Documents: [Section A. - The Indemnity Principle](#)

**Civil Costs 6th Ed.**

Chapter: Chapter 20. - General Principles of Assessment

Documents: [Section D. - Hourly Rates](#)

**Contractual Duties: Performance, Breach, Termination and Remedies 2nd Ed.**

Chapter: Chapter 21 - Damages: Financial Loss

Documents: [Staff costs](#)

**IDS Employment Law Cases (up to May 2017)**

Chapter: L - Practice and Procedure; 11 - Costs orders; 1 - in the employment tribunal; 1 - types of award; 1 - costs

Documents: [LADAK v DRC LOCUMS LTD](#)

**O'Hare & Browne: Civil Litigation 18th Ed.**

Chapter: Chapter 38 - Costs Payable by One Party to Another

Documents: [Definition of costs](#)

**O'Hare & Browne: Civil Litigation 18th Ed.**

Chapter: Chapter 38 - Costs Payable by One Party to Another

Documents: [The indemnity principle](#)

**White Book 2018**

Chapter: Section A - Civil Procedure Rules 1998 and Practice Directions

Documents: [Rule 46.5 - Litigants in person](#)

### **White Book 2018**

Chapter: Section A - Civil Procedure Rules 1998 and Practice Directions

Documents: [Rule 47.14 - Detailed assessment hearing](#)

### **White Book 2018**

Chapter: Section 7 - Legal Representatives—Costs and Litigation Funding

Documents: [Section 59. - Contentious business agreements](#)

© 2019 Thomson Reuters

